The Documented Community of the Nation? Bureaucratic Practices and Transborder Membership Politics in Korea and Beyond
Transborder Membership Politics (TMP)

- TMP: the membership politics concerning the relationship between the state and “its” external members, such as emigrants, diasporas, and ethnonational “kin” populations.

How do the historically evolving, and mutually interlinked, bureaucratic practices shape the contours of TMP over time?

- Case: South Korea’s contested relationship with ethnic Koreans in Japan and northeast China.
Politics of Identity: Two-Pronged Approach

- Not ethnodemographic fact, but political achievement
- Classification, identification, boundary-crossing
- Two components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macropolitical level</th>
<th>Group definition</th>
<th>Legal, political, public spheres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micropolitical level</td>
<td>Individual identification</td>
<td>Bureaucratic settings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual Identification

Why important?

1) Question of state’s infrastructural capacity
2) Question of agency of those subject to state’s classification and identification
Bureaucratic Practices

- ... constitute the conceptual grid through which a state identifies and enumerates “its” transborder population and mobilize them for its own agendas.

- ... mediate the reiterative encounters between a state and “its” transborder population, and in so doing, shape the vernacular idioms of self-identification of the latter.

- ... leave durable documentary traces to which a state turns to validate the belated claims to national belonging by those whose long defunct ties to their “homeland” seem ambiguous or suspicious.
Colonial-era Migration

- A large-scale outward migration of ethnic Koreans

- Incomplete postwar repatriation
  - 600,000 remained in Japan
  - 1.2 million remained in Manchuria
1. South Korea’s effort to create its own docile citizens out of ethnic Koreans in Japan in a fierce competition with North Korea

2. South Korea’s effort to control its territorial and membership boundary from ethnic Korean “return” migrants from China

■ Data: 18 months of multisited field research in South Korea, Japan, and northeast China (2005-2009)
Cold-War Competition over Koreans in Japan

- Radical exclusion of Koreans from postwar Japan: repatriation and denationalization policies
- Political vacuum for the vehement competition between NK and SK
- Divergent transborder nation-building strategies to make their own docile citizens out of stateless Koreans, who were deeply ambivalent toward the two putative homeland states
NK as Safe Haven

Repatriation Campaign (1959-1967)

Investment In Korean enclaves and schools
SK as Broker and Gatekeeper

- 1965 Japan-SK bilateral treaty: grant of permanent resident status to those who officially identified themselves as “South Korean nationals”

- Discrimination against pro-North Koreans
  1. Ban on cross-border transactions
  2. Persecution of kin members in South Korea
  3. Refusal to issue passports and family registration documents
## Strength of SK’s Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NK</th>
<th>“Safe Haven”</th>
<th>Enclaves and schools</th>
<th>Soul of the people</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>“Broker” and “Gatekeeper”</td>
<td>Various identification documents</td>
<td>Bureaucratic persona</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Effectively pressed conversion and prevented defection
- Enabled identification, surveillance, and mobilization
- NK: incapable of providing a reliable and desirable bureaucratic persona due to lack of international recognition and its pariah status
KC: Cold War & Post-Cold War

Cold War
- Incorporation into communist China
- No contact with SK

Post-Cold War
- Intensive migration within and beyond China
- Most popular destination: South Korea
Reluctant Homeland State and KC’s Struggles for Inclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Struggles over group definition</th>
<th>Legal, political, and public spheres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Struggles over individual identification</td>
<td>Bureaucratic settings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How to establish the authenticity of claimed kinship ties between KC migrants and SK citizens
Verifying Kinship Ties

- **Initial exclusive reliance on official documentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document required to establishing kinship ties</th>
<th>... to native South Korean Citizens</th>
<th>... to naturalized South Korean Citizens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colonial-era family registry</td>
<td>Chinese official documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Both documents difficult to obtain, even for legitimate applicants
In Want of Documentation

- **Colonial family registry:** “the memory of the state”
  - Principle: supposed to document all colonial Koreans
  - Reality: missing or incorrect records

- **Fading individual memories**

- **Chinese official documents**
  - Up to the discretion of Chinese local bureaucrats
  - The rule of relationship (guanxi) prevailed
Creating Own “Papereality”

- Black markets for paper identities
- Bribing local bureaucrats
- “Fraud will work! Truth won’t!”
- Reliance on “papereality” turned out to be vulnerable to false reporting, fabrication, and impersonation
In Search of Alternatives

- Detailed narrative statement, supporting documents (family genealogy books, photos, etc.), and interviews
Problems with the New Verification Regime

- Overburdened immigration and consular offices
- Room for discretion and corruption
- Frustration with arbitrariness and delays

“If there are those who can perfectly answer such questions without hesitation, the officer should be suspicious of them because they are the ones who memorized all those details to disguise who they truly are!”
DNA Test

- Appeal to immigration bureaucrats
- Appeal to Korean Chinese migrants
  - Convenient tool to speed up the process
  - Effective means of establishing their "trustworthiness"
  - "natural," "authentic" descent versus "artificial" documentation
- Shaped the discursive field in which the criminality of immigration law violations were discussed
Bureaucratic practices provide a crucial institutional scaffolding for TMP. They transform newly emerged borders and unfamiliar state categories into the experiential reality of ordinary people. They also open a new venue for micropolitical struggles over individual identification.
Transborder populations, on some occasions, effectively resist their forcible incorporation into their state of origin; and on other occasions, they effectively circumvent or challenge the gatekeeping practices of their putative homeland.

Micropolitical struggles over individual identification are central to both cases.
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